



Friday January 12, 2018

[Breaking News](#) > [Editor's Picks](#) > [The City](#) > [Featured The City](#) > [The City](#) > [San Francisco News](#) > [Politics](#)

SF's Elections Commission asks mayor to put \$4M toward open source voting system



Advocates for open-source voting say it is the best defense against voter fraud and provides flexibility and savings. (Jessica Christian/2016 S.F. Examiner)

By Joshua Sabatini on February 21, 2017 1:00 am



While the Elections Commission may be among the least followed

city bodies, the seven members are playing a critical role in determining whether San Francisco will begin to use an open-source voting system.

For years, open-source voting advocates have called on San Francisco officials to part ways with traditional voting machine companies.

Open-source voting is widely considered the best defense to voter fraud with the added benefits of cost savings and flexibility.

Much to chagrin of these advocates, The City has continued to sign contracts with nonopen-source voting companies. While no open-

Trending Articles

[Trial begins over SF waterfront height limits as state seeks to overturn Prop. B](#)

[All landlords support rent control](#)

[Tech mogul Ron Conway shakes down supervisors to support London Breed for mayor](#)

[The affordable housing the Haight really needs](#)

[Dispensary alleges supervisors were under political influence in Sunset pot shop decision](#)



Texas.

After The City allocated \$300,000 in the current fiscal year to move San Francisco toward an open-source voting system, the effort has gotten off to a slower-than-expected start. Advocates worry if funding isn't committed to building out such a system, the effort will face further delays.

The Elections Commission sought to address those concerns last week. As city departments must submit proposed two-year budget proposals to the Mayor's Office today, the Elections Commission voted unanimously last Thursday to request \$4 million in the second fiscal year to pay for the initial stages of an open-source voting system.

The vote came after conversations in previous days among Director of Elections John Arntz, commission members and the Mayor's Office over project concerns.

The amount was reached by looking to Travis County, which in October issued a request for proposals, to create an open-source voting software called STAR-Vote. The county has committed to spend \$4 million and raise \$11 million through a consortium over five years.

Another new development in the effort was what Arntz said during Thursday's meeting that instead of soliciting a consultant for open source voting as initially planned, the department will instead first hire an employee to spearhead the effort for about \$100,000. The funding would come out of the \$300,000 allocated earlier this year for the effort, of which nothing has yet been spent.

The hire would happen within "weeks" once the Department of Human Resources formalizes the classification. "Right now, the idea is to get a sense of what The City has to do to develop a voting system and cost and timelines," Arntz said of the new hire. "That's the initial need, but also to get a sense of the next steps, certainly."

Earlier this year, it was thought an open-source system could be ready for piloting as early as the November 2019 mayor's race and fully operational in time for the June 2020 presidential primary.

But Christopher Jerdonek, Elections Commission chair, told the San Francisco Examiner on Monday that "that will be very optimistic." He noted, "Setting aside funds early would make me more optimistic but I understand The City has a process."

Jerdonek said he is a proponent of open-source voting for three main reasons: voter transparency, cost savings, since the software is free, and the flexibility to alter the system without being at the mercy of the voting machine companies.

Next month, the commission will discuss establishing a citizen advisory committee focused on voting machines. There are 518,000 registered voters in San Francisco, of whom nearly 298,000 are permanently vote-by-mail ballots and 9,000 are

Do you think President Trump is a racist?

LEARN MORE

1.7K

- Yes
- No
- I don't know
- Indifferent

Powered by 1World Online



Sign up for our E-Edition &

Newsletters

... has said he plans to lease voting machine equipment to handle elections after 2018 when the contract with the existing voting machine vendor, Dominion — formerly known as Sequoia Voting Systems, Inc. — expires. The Mayor's Office has requested the department provide an update on the open-source voting effort by January 2018.

Brent Turner, from the California Association of Voting Officials, has been advocating for open-source voting for years in San Francisco. Earlier this month Turner told the commission that issues like contract extensions and leased voting machines could have been avoided if The City didn't delay the effort.

He stressed the need to commit funding to the build out in The City's budget. "My concern is that again this is a slow-walking process that at the end of the line results in a delay of the open-source system."

Click here or scroll down to comment



15,863 likes

Like Page Sign Up

Be the first of your friends to like this



San Francisco Examiner

24 minutes ago

BREAKING: Hieu Trung Nguyen is suspected in the killing of Anthony "Bubbles" Torres in the Tenderloin last fall.



Should college education be free in the US?

LEARN MORE

83K

- Yes, absolutely
- No, definitely
- I am not sure

2 Comments

SF Examiner

Login

Recommend 1

Share

Sort by Best



Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH



OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS

By signing up, you agree to the Disqus [Basic Rules](#), [Terms of Service](#), and [Privacy Policy](#).



pahrump • a year ago

For goodness sake Examiner, explain the pros and cons of each type of voting and what is entailed before you get into all the contractual and cost deadline issues. This article leaves you flat without info.

| • Reply • Share ›



Chris J. pahrump • a year ago

To answer some of what you're asking: a voting system being open source means that the computer software running on the voting machines is "open source." The machines include things like ballot scanners and accessible voting devices for people with disabilities. Software being open source means that the software is legally free for anyone to view or use (or even make changes to it if, say, another jurisdiction wants to use it for their own purposes).

This is in contrast to proprietary or "closed source" software that needs to be purchased from a company and whose source code experts and other members of the public are generally unable to inspect (e.g. to do a security audit). San Francisco will have spent about \$22 million on its current voting system since 2008.

Two well-known examples of open source software are the Linux and Android operating systems (in contrast to Mac OS X and Windows which are proprietary and closed source). The Firefox browser by the nonprofit Mozilla Foundation is another example of open source software (as

[see more](#)

| • Reply • Share ›



BREAKING NEWS

THE CITY

- Crime
- Politics
- Education
- City Planning
- Transit
- Columnists

OBITUARIES

FIND LOCAL CLASSES

SPORTS

- NFL
- NBA
- MLB
- NHL
- Columnists
- Other

PHOTO GALLERIES

OPINION

- Letters
- Op-eds
- Editorials

FEATURES

- Food
- Health
- Law
- Other

ARTS

- Calendar
- Comedy
- Community
- Dance
- Literature
- Movies and TV
- Music
- Performing Arts
- Theater
- Visual Arts

NATION AND WORLD

- California News
- US News
- World News

SPONSORED

READERS' CHOICE 2016

CONNECT

- Facebook
- Twitter

CONTACT

- Contact us
- Employment
- Subscription
- E-Edition Signup
- Newsletters

REAL ESTATE

©2018 The San Francisco Examiner
Terms of Use | Privacy Policy